

From: lucky50@humboldt1.com [<mailto:lucky50@humboldt1.com>]
Sent: Tuesday, May 09, 2006 7:19 AM
To: MLPAComments@resources.ca.gov
Subject: MLPAComments: Financing of the MLPA

With legislation as controversial as the MLPA who would want to fund such an unpopular measure? I doubt even the non-profit NGO's that support the idea of closing vast expanses of state waters to fishing don't want to pay the projected 30 million a year to implement it. Their wish is to receive grants to do the research after the MLPA is implemented. As for the fishing community few is any want their licence fees or fish landing taxes used to further reduce their few remaining fishing opportunities.

With our State near bankruptcy why would any taxpayer want his or her tax dollar used to implement legislation that will further reduce business opportunities in California. I for one certainly do not want a single penny for my taxes, licence fees or fish landing taxes used to implement or monitor the MLPA. My opinion is that the NGO's and government employees that stand to gain from it should pay for it out of their own pocket and if they don't want to the MLPA should go away.

Mike Zamboni